Polymer Bulletin 15, 121-125 (1986) Polymer Bulletin

9 Springer-Verlag 1986

Polymer- Metal Complexes

Linear Polyethyleneimine Supports for Resins with Retention Properties for Heavy Metals. Part 2

B.L. Rivas, H.A. Maturana, I.M. Perich, and U. Angne

Polimeros, Departamento de Qufmica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Concepci6n, Casilla 3-C, Concepci6n, Chile

SUMMARY

A linear polyethyleneimine obtained by cationic polymerization of 2 methyl-2-oxazoline was crosslinked with 1,4-dibromo-2-butene (IML-I). This resin subsequently was N-alkylated with dimethylsulphate (IML-IM). With both resins were carried out analytical assays, such as: pH dependence for copper (II), uranium (VI), iron (II) and (III); maximum capacity of load for copper and uranium; elution assays. Moreover, the morphology by scanning electron microscopy and thermal stability were studied.

INTRODUCTION

Polymer-metal complexes are today of great interest (1-3). The formation of chelates and adducts by polymers has widely been used for concentration, separation and extraction of metal ions (4-5). One functional polymer is polyethyleneimine which is known to complex with heavy metals (6-9).

This paper reports the synthesis and crosslinking of polyethyleneimine (PEI) and its N-alkylation and the adsorption properties for copper (II), uranium (Vl), iron (II) and (III).

 $x = Br$; R = $-CH_2-CH=CH-CH_2-$

EXPERIMENTAL PART

Materials: All the chemicals used were chemically pure. They were used as such, except 2-methyl-2-oxazoline and dimethylsulphate which were distilled.

Synth~ls of Polye~hylen~mine: This polymer was obtained from 2-methyl-2 oxazoline according to the literature (I0).

Cross Linking Reaction: Linear Polyethyleneimine was crosslinked with 1,4dibromo-2-butene. The reaction was carried out in heterogeneous phase (11).

N-Alkylation Reaction. To 1.5 eq/g crosslinked polyethyleneimine suspended

in 60 ml $CH₃CN$, 0.36 mole dimethylsulphate were added. The mixture was stirred for 6 h to 95 °C. The resin was filtered and dried under vacuum.

Mea6urement~: Copper and iron were determined on a Perkin Elmer 306 atomic absorption spectrometer. Uranium was analyzed on a PMQ II Carl Zeiss spectrophotometer. The thermal stability was examined by a Thermobalance Perkin Elmer TGS-I. Morphology was studied by an Electron Scanning Microscope ETEC Autoscan U-I Model.

pH dependence for copper (If), uranium (VI) and iron (II), (III). lon solution 1.0 g/l was prepared at different pH's: 0 to 4 for copper and uranium and 0 to 2 for iron. These solutions were contacted with $0.5 g$ dry resin over 2h with constant stirring. The ions were analyzed in aqueous solution.

D~ermination of the maximum capacity of load for copper and uranium. It was carried out at pH 2.0~ One g dry resin was contacted with 50 ml of a solution 1.0 g/l at 25 °C. After 1 h of stirring the aqueous solution was separated by decanting and washed several times. This process was repeated three times.

RESULI'S AND DISCUSSION

The linear polyethyleneimine is obtained by ring opening polymerization of 2-methyl-2-oxazoline and followed by basic hydrolisis of poly(Nacetylethyleneimine). Molecular weight is 2, I00. This polymer was crosslinked in heterogeneous phase with 1,4-dibromo-2-butene. The resin obtained is completely insoluble (IML-I). Subsequently this resin was Nalkylated with dimethylsulphate (IML-IM). As the resins are insoluble, they were characterizated only by elemental analyses.

Adsorption properties for both resins were assayed under several conditions. The results are shown in Tables $1-4$.

Table 1. Adsorption percent of copper (II)

 $IML-1$ at pH's 0.0 to 1.0 does not retain copper. From pH 2.0 up to pH 4.0 it adsorbs between 54.2% and 91.7% copper. On the other hand, IML-1M, over the pH range assayed, practically does not retain copper.

Table 2. Adsorption percent of uranium (VI)

For IML-1 as the pH increases, the retention for uranium increases. The best retention for $IML-1M$ is pH = 2.0 and for $IML-1$ pH = 4.0.

IML-IM shows a good adsorption behaviour: it nractically does not retain copper (II) but retains significantly uranium (VI) (81.9% at pH=2).

Neither resins did retain iron (II) and (III).

In respect to the maximum load caracity, the hest for uranium is IML-1M and for copper IML-I (See Table 3).

Table 3. Maximum capacity of load For conner (II) and uranium (VI).

a) not determined as resin $IML-1M$ practically does not retain copper (II).

Elution assays were carried out in acid and basic medium. (See Table 4 and 5).

Table 5. Copper elution with sodium carbonate and sulphuric acid.

Elution is affected by the medium. Copner is nreferentially eluted in acidic medium and for uranium the elution medium is of secondary importance.

These results show that quaternized resins show great retention for uranium. This resin retains uranium hv adduct formation. In contrast, resin IML-1 has the free electron pair of nitrogen to bind for copper.

Morphology

The morphology of unloaded resins IML-I and IML-IM was examined. Electron microscopy shows that the surfaces are similar with holes and fissures. (See Figures I and 2). During adsorntion the morphology does not seem to change.

Figure 1. SEM micrograph of Resin IML-1 (400x). Unloded.

Figure 2. SEM micrograph of Resin IML-1M (400x). Unloaded.

Thermal stability.

Resin IML-1 is stable up to 140° C. From this temperature until 300 $^{\circ}$ C, it looses 60% by weight. On the other hand, resin IML-1M shows a better thermal stability, does not loose weight until 200 °C and it looses 83% by weight up to 400 °C (See figure 3).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank the Direcci6n de Investigaci6n, Universidad de Concepci6n, for financial support (Grant 20.13.39).

REFERENCES

- 1. E. Tsuchida and N. Nishide Adv. Polym. Sci. 24, 2 (1977).
2. M. Kaneko and N. Nishide J. Macromol. Sci. Rev. 16, 397 (
- 2. M. Kaneko and N. Nishide J. Macromol. Sci. Rev. 16 , 397 (1981).
3. S.L. Davydova and N.A. Plate Coord. Chem. Rec. 16 , 195 (1975).
- 3. S.L. Davydova and N.A. Plate Coord. Chem. Rec. 16 , 195 (1975).
4. K. Gekeler, K. Weingartner and E. Bayer, Pure Appl. Chem. 54,
- K. Gekeler, K. Weingartner and E. Bayer, Pure Appl. Chem. 54, 1883 (1980) .
- 5. A.A. Efeudier and V.A. Kabanov Pure Appl. Chem. 54, 2077 (1981).
- 6. S. Nonogaki, S. Makishima and Y. Yoneda J. Phys. Chem. 62, 661 (1958).
- 7. E.J. Shepherd and S.A. Kitchener, J. Chem. Soc. 86 (1956).
- 8. J. Bartulin, H.A. Maturana, B.L. Rivas and M.T. Rodriguez, An. Quim. 78, 224 (1982).
- 9. J. Bartulin, H.A. Maturana, B.L. Rivas and M.T. Rodriguez, An. Quim. 7_8_8, 221 (1982).
- 10. $\overline{J_s}$ Bartulin, B.L. Rivas, M.T. Rodriguez, and U. Angne, Makromol. Chem. 183, 2935 (1982).
- 11. B.L. Rivas, H.A. Maturana, U. Angne, I. Perich, Polymer Bulletin (In press).

Accepted January 27, 1986

 $\cal K$